California Department of Finance Finance Director Ana J. Manosantos California Department of Finance 915 L Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Finance Director Ana J. Manosantos:

The criminal activity of Government Officials over the past two decades impacts on the California Department of Finance as monies may have been illegally allocated to counties which were "bribing" judges before whom they were appearing as parties, to Superior Courts containing judges who received and were receiving such bribes and to Courts of Appeal containing justices who received such "bribes" from counties while sitting as Superior Court judges.

Over the past 25 years, up to 34 counties illegally paid over \$400 million to over 90% of California's state judges presiding in cases in which the counties were parties. These payments violated state criminal law, federal criminal law, the U.S. and California Constitutions and laws. These payments were <u>never</u> disclosed by the judges, the counties, their attorneys, prosecutors and public defenders, and others as required by law. These payments resulted in the disqualification of the judges in every case in which a county was involved and the annulling and voiding of their decisions as "frauds upon the court", amongst other things.

The Campaign for Judicial Integrity provided the California Legislature with an Amendment (also known as the Fine Amendment) that would save California taxpayers approximately \$20 million per year and approximately \$450 million over the next 25 years by removing state contributions to the state pensions to judges who received retroactive immunity from criminal prosecution under Section 5 of SBX 2 11.

The Governor's Office and the Department of Finance working cooperatively with the California Senate and Assembly could significantly improve the enactment of the Amendment.

Your input on the exact savings achieved by removing the State's contributions to the judge's pension funds for all of the judges who received retroactive immunity from criminal prosecution, civil liability and disciplinary action pursuant to Section 5 of SBX 2 11 enacted 2/20/2009 is necessary.

Please send me reports on the financial benefits that the Amendment will have on the judge's pension plans. The information you send needs to address the legality of the State funding counties when the counties are "bribing" judges before whom they are appearing, and reports on the legality of the State funding Superior Courts containing judges who received and were receiving such bribes. The incidents of litigants being in the Appellate Courts with justices who received such "bribes", from counties while sitting as Superior Court judges, needs to be included in the information you provide.

The information from your Office is to be sent to the attention of <u>Jetredact@aol.com</u>.

I look forward to receiving your information to acquire a full appreciation of the benefits that can be derived from the Amendment.